

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,242	03/18/2004	Mark Gallagher	Abstract-1	7483
1218 7	590 11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
CASELLA & HESPOS			LEE, KYUNG S	
274 MADISON NEW YORK,		I ADTIBUT I DAD		PAPER NUMBER
NEW TORK,	141 10010		2832	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H:				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/804,242	GALLAGHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard K. Lee	2832					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u>March 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
<u> </u>	6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-11 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 5-7 and 12 is/are objected to.	or election requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)  All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	I9(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		ceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bures  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	poived					
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	of the certified copies not rec	,eiveu.					
Attachment(s)	"□·· · -	(DTO 440)					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) Iail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	8) 5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0604</u> .	o)						

Application/Control Number: 10/804,242 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kolb et al. US Pat. 5,672,856.

Kolb et al. teaches a safety sensor for a power operated overhead door comprising:

an elongated non-conductive housing (please see the abstract and figs. 12-14) having an elongated pathway;

the housing having a recessed portion (for the contacts); electrical contacts 112 and 111 disposed in the recesses; and

a ball bearing 136 for tilted electrical engagement and disengagement.

Regarding claim 2, the "tapering" recites a range between 0 to 5 degrees. Kolb et al meet the claimed ranges.

Regarding "mounting" the tilt device to a door, use is inherent.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,242 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolb et al.

Regarding claims 3-4 and 10-11, Kolb et al. teaches the claimed invention except for the taper having specific angle of 1.0 or 1.5 degrees. However, Kolb et al. discloses the importance of having a tapering angle in order to bring the metal ball bearing to its central position, as shown in fig. 12.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 6-7 depend claim 5.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard

Application/Control Number: 10/804,242 Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

K. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1994. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Tue & Thu-Fri 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard K. Lee
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2832 11/12/05